



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/587,171 | 06/02/2000 | Michael L. Van De Vanter | 004-4911 | 7355 | |
| 22120 7 | 590 06/30/2003 | | | | |
| ZAGORIN O'BRIEN & GRAHAM LLP | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 401 W 15TH STREET SUITE 870 | | | KISS, ERIC B | | |
| AUSTIN, TX | /8/01 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2122 | G | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003 | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | | ppy |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/587,171 | VAN DE VANTE | ER ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| _ | Eric B. Kiss | 2122 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence ac | idress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time o | Mailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the | expiration of the |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it doe | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se | | empt at a proper rep | bly, to the non- |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL | • | the statutory period | d of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan | ice of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated |), which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity u | inder 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision control of th | | se the period for se | eking court review |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | | | |
| The Examiner telephoned David W. O'Brien (Reg mailed 4 December 2002 was received and wheth response had been prepared. | | | |
| | | - H | _ |
| | TUAN Q | _ | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withe minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | draw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to |
| | ce of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. | 9 |